

1 DANIEL J. BERGESON, Bar No. 105439
2 dbergeson@be-law.com
3 JOHN W. FOWLER, Bar No. 037463
4 jfowler@be-law.com
5 MELINDA M. MORTON, Bar No. 209373
6 mmorton@be-law.com
7 BERGESON, LLP
8 303 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 500
9 San Jose, CA 95110-2712
10 Telephone: (408) 291-6200
11 Facsimile: (408) 297-6000
12
13 Attorneys for Plaintiff
14 VERIGY US, INC.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VERIGY US, INC, a Delaware Corporation

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROMI OMAR MAYDER, an individual;
WESLEY MAYDER, an individual; SILICON
TEST SYSTEMS, INC., a California Corporation;
and SILICON TEST SOLUTIONS, LLC, a
California Limited Liability Corporation,
inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. C07 04330 RMW (HRL)

**DECLARATION OF
MELINDA M. MORTON IN SUPPORT OF
PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO COMPEL**

Judge: Honorable Howard R. Lloyd
Ctrm: 2

Complaint Filed: August 22, 2007
Trial Date: None Set

**PUBLIC VERSION OF HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS EYES ONLY
DOCUMENT SUBMITTED UNDER SEAL**

1 I, Melinda M. Morton, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law before all of the courts of the State of
3 California. I am an associate with the law firm of Bergeson, LLP, counsel of record for Plaintiff
4 Verigy US, Inc. ("Verigy") in the above-captioned action. I have personal knowledge of the facts
5 set forth in this declaration, and, if called to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.

6 2. I submit this declaration in support of Verigy's Motion to Compel Defendants to
7 produce a bit for bit copy – a mirror image - of Mr. Mayder's and STSI's computers' hard drives
8 (the "Motion").

9 3. On August 24, 2007, Verigy served upon Mayder and Silicon Test Systems its First
10 Set of Requests for Production and Special Interrogatories. True and correct copies of Verigy's
11 First Set of Requests for Production are attached hereto as Exhibits A and B.

12 4. During a telephone conference on August 29, 2007 with counsel for Defendants,
13 Kevin M. Pasquinelli and John Fowler, Michael Stebbins and me from our office, Mr. Pasquinelli
14 agreed to produce a bit for bit copy – a mirror image - of Mr. Mayder's and STSI's computers'
15 hard drives. During this call, Mr. Pasquinelli asked if Verigy would pay for defendants' forensics
16 experts' time to make a copy of the currently existing forensic image or if Verigy would prefer to
17 have its own forensics expert make the copy. I told him I would let him know the next day.

18 5. I sent Mr. Pasquinelli an email on August 30, 2007, in which I asked him to send
19 me the estimated price for his forensics expert to make copies of defendants' hard drives. I told
20 him that as long as the price was reasonable and his forensics expert planned to make encase
21 physical images, we would be fine with his forensics expert making the copies. Attached hereto as
22 Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of my e-mail dated August 30, 2007 to Mr. Pasquinelli.

23 6. On August 31, 2007, Mr. Pasquinelli contacted me by telephone and advised me
24 that Mayder would not be providing the mirror images of the hard drives because he had "misread
25 the Court's order [of August 24.]"

26 7. Mayder and Silicon Test Systems served their respective responses to Verigy's
27 First Set of Requests for Production on August 31, 2007. True and correct copies of the responses
28 to Verigy's First Set of Requests for Production are attached hereto as Exhibits D and E.

1 Defendants have reiterated their refusal to provide the mirror images of the hard drives.

2 8. On September 26, 2007, after the deposition of defendant Romi Mayder, I met and
3 conferred with Mr. Pasquinelli and Mr. Mount regarding the outstanding discovery issues. Mr.
4 Fowler and Mr. Gagliardi were also present at this conference. During the meet and confer,
5 Defendants' counsel agreed to produce the mirror images of Defendants' hard drives provided that
6 any attorney-client documents were segregated and removed prior to production. The parties
7 agreed to hire a third-party neutral expert to remove any such documents. Defendants' counsel
8 was to provide a list of search terms the next day for the expert to use to segregate any privileged
9 documents, and we agreed that a list showing any documents with the search terms would be
10 circulated among counsel to discuss appropriate action. I agreed to propose one or more potential
11 neutral forensics experts, and the parties agreed that the hard drives would be turned over to the
12 neutral expert by October 2, 2007. At no time did either Mr. Mount or Mr. Pasquinelli indicate
13 that they needed to seek client approval for this agreement.

14 9. Mr. Pasquinelli reneged on this agreement as well by an email dated September 27,
15 2007.

16 10. In the event that privileged documents are inadvertently left on the mirror image,
17 Verigy's counsel will comply with their ethical obligations and inform Defendants' counsel
18 immediately upon discovery of any such documents. Verigy's counsel has previously informed
19 Defendants' counsel of inadvertently produced privileged documents in this case.

20 11. Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of an email dated January
21 17, 2007 produced in litigation, numbered SITES001423.

22 12. Attached hereto as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of an email dated
23 September 20, 2006 produced in litigation, numbered VER00727-VER00728.

24 13. Attached hereto as Exhibit H is a true and correct copy of an email dated
25 September 24, 2006 produced in litigation, numbered VER00056.

26 14. On Monday, October 1, 2007 at approximately 11:26 p.m., I received an email
27 from Kevin Pasquinelli informing Verigy that despite the concessions Verigy offered, Mayder was
28 unwilling to produce a mirror image of his hard drive due to "privilege and privacy concerns."

1 Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of Pasquinelli's October 1, 2007 email.

2 15. Attached hereto as Exhibit J is a true and correct copy of the Court's August 24,
3 2007 Order Granting Plaintiff Verigy US, Inc.'s Application for Temporary Restraining Order and
4 Order Authorizing Expedited Discovery; Order to Show Cause re: Preliminary Injunction
5 (Unredacted Version).

6 16. Attached hereto as Exhibit K is a true and correct copy of an August 31, 2007 email
7 from Kevin Pasquinelli to me purporting to produce two documents in their native format.

8 17. Attached hereto as Exhibit L is a true and correct copy of the document properties
9 for the kiowa.doc attachment to Exhibit K, showing that the metadata had been altered.

10 18. In response to several of Verigy's discovery requests, Defendants produced only
11 one email dated prior to September 22, 2006 (Mayder's last day at Verigy) related to Mayder's
12 STSI work.

13 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
14 foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 2nd day of October, 2007
15 at San Jose, California.

16
17 /s/
18 Melinda M. Morton
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28